



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,167	01/31/2002	Sabrina D. Boler	MICR0259	2709
27792	7590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
RONALD M. ANDERSON			SAJOUS, WESNER	
MICROSOFT CORPORATION 600 108TH AVENUE N.E., SUITE 507			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BELLEVUE,			2676	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/066,167	BOLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sajous Wesner	2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	October 2005.					
<i>,</i>	,—					
	•••					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-12,14,16-26,28-32 and 34-42 is/a	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12,14,16-26,28-32 and 34-42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,12,14,36 and 37</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1,5,12,14,36 and 37</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>3,4,6-11,16-24 and 38-42</u> is/are obje						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price						
application from the International Burea	nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Remark

1. This communication is responsive to the amendment and response dated 10/17/2005. Claims 1, 3-12, 14, 16-26, and 28-32, and 34-42 are presented for examination. Claims 2, 13, 15, 27 and 33 are canceled.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/17/05 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,167

Art Unit: 2676

4. Claims 1, 5, 12, 14, 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perkes (US 20030110503).

Considering claim 1, Perkes discloses a method for lossless editing of a media object comprises accessing data defining the media object to produce a representation of the media object (see paragraphs 12-14); enabling a user to selectively edit the representation of the media object by applying a modification to the representation, producing metadata that define the modification (see paragraph 51 and/or paragraphs 289-294, wherein the creation of one's own unique compilation of videos and music from the downloaded contents, see paragraph 247, encompasses the production of metadata that define the modification); rendering (e.g., visualizing) a modified media object in accord with the modification to the representation (see paragraphs 253 in light of paragraphs 289-294); and storing the metadata that define the modification applied to the representation in association with the media object, without modifying the data that define the media object (see item 1414 of fig. 14 and paragraphs 43 and 246).

As per claim 5, Perkes discloses the image storing a stream of metadata in a sub storage of an object linking (see item 1414 of fig. 14 and paragraphs 43 and 246) and embedding (OLE) file (as characterized by paragraph 185).

Claim 12 is a computer-readable medium that performs the method of claim 1.

Claim 12 is, therefore, rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

The invention of claim 14 contains features that are analogous to the limitations recited in claim 1. As the limitations of claim 1 have been met by Perkes, it is readily apparent that the applied prior art performs the underlying elements. As such, the

Application/Control Number: 10/066,167

Art Unit: 2676

limitations of claim 14 are rejected under the same rationale as claim 1. In addition, Perkes discloses, a processor (1202, fig. 12), a display (1232 of fig. 12) in communication with the processor (see paragraph 63); an input device (via item 240) in communication with the processor; and a memory (1206/1208, fig. 12) in communication with the processor for storing media object and machine instructions (inherent in item 1200 of fig. 12) that cause the processor to perform the media editing tasks.

The invention of claim 36 contains features that are analogous to the limitations recited in claim 12. As the limitations of claim 12 have been met by Perkes, it is readily apparent that the applied prior art performs the underlying elements. As such, the limitations of claim 36 are rejected under the same rationale as claim 12.

The invention of claim 37 contains features that are analogous to the limitations recited in claim 14. This being the case, the limitations of claim 37 are therefore rejected under the same rationale as claim 14. In addition, Perkes, at fig. 14, depicts a data structure (1414) for a collection of media objects and comprises a sub storage.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-4, 6-11, 16-24, and 38-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, because the prior art of record fail to teach a method for lossless editing of a media object that enables representation, user modification, rendering and storing of the media object, wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/066,167 Page 5

Art Unit: 2676

modification comprises the step of cropping the representation of the media object with metadata defining a size and position of a crop outline on the representation of the image to indicate limits of a cropped image (as recited in claims 38, and 40).

6. Claims 25-35 are allowed because the prior art of record fail to teach a method for lossless editing of a media object that enables representation, user modification, rendering and storing of the media object, wherein the method further comprises subsequently accessing the media object and metadata; rendering the representation of the media object as defined by the metadata; enabling the user to further modify the first modification of the representation to produce a second modification and producing metadata that define the second modification; and storing the metadata that now define the second modification of the media object, without modifying the data that define the media object.

## **Information Disclosure Statements**

7. The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) dated 4/30/2002 has not been considered because the PTO-1449 form was not attached with the disclosure statement.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sajous Wesner whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/066,167

Art Unit: 2676

7791. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays thru Fridays between 11:00 AM and 7:00 PM.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wesner Sajous

PSA Examiner

1*/*16/05